UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/590,722 | 08/25/2006 | Koichi Imamura | 2006_1405A | 2556 |
| | 7590 08/20/200 , LIND & PONACK, I | | EXAMINER HELDING JOHN E | |
| 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 | | | USELDING, JOHN E | |
| | SHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occurrence | 10/590,722 | IMAMURA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | John Uselding | 4171 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| | - action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | · _ | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | · ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-15 are subject to restriction and/or e | lection requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| | animon rete the attached office | , totion of form 1 | 102. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | (1) (6) | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | . In a constant of | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | NI - | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | 01 | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | a in this National | Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | | a. | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | | | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other: | асти друшсацоп | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/590,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 4171

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I is component B-1-i, an organosilicon compound.

Species II is component B-1-ii, an organic titanate compound.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I, claim(s) 5

Species II, claim(s) 6

Page 3

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-4 and 7-15.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: There is a lack of unity between the two different components given for B-1 because they are not obvious variant of each other. The common technical feature linking the two inventions is a resin composition made by the process of making an aromatic polycarbonate via interfacial polycondensation in the presence of a silicate filler. This common technical feature is taught by Suzuki et al. (EP 1142954). Therefore there is a lack of unity between the species listed.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Art Unit: 4171

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Uselding whose telephone number is (571)270-5463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00a.m. to 4:30p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ling-Siu Choi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 John Uselding Examiner Art Unit 4171

Application/Control Number: 10/590,722 Page 5

Art Unit: 4171

/JEU/